RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NDDQ LLP

10-10-05 17:30

Pg: 1/14

OCT 1 0 2005

1300 Eye Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202 659.0100
Facsimile: 202.659.0105
www.novakdruce.com

: 2026590105



Fax

• Pax sent by

To:	USPTO 571-273-8308		From: Sabine Berg	
Fax:			Pages:	14
Phone:			Date:	October 10, 2005
Re:	•		∞:	
□Urgent	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle

OLBERT et al.

Serial No.: 09/936,354
Atty Docket: 49845

- Reply under 37CFR
- Credit Card Payment Form

Confidentiality Notice: This facsimile and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Novak Druce DeLuca & Quigg, LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this facsimile. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this facsimile is prohibited. If you receive this facsimile in error, please notify us by reply facsimile immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IN RE APPLICATION

OCT 1 0 2005

OF:

OLBERT ET AL.

CONFIRMATION NO.:

3616

09/936,354 SERIAL NO.

GROUP ART UNIT:

1725

FILED:

11 SEPTEMBER 2001

EXAMINER: MCHENRY, KEVIN

For:

MULTITUDE FIXED BED REACTOR, ESPECTIALLY FOR

CATALYTIC GAS-PHASE REACTIONS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patenat and Trademark Office, Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on October 10, 2005.

(Sabine Berg)

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

<u>REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111</u>

Sir,

In reply to the office action of May 9, 2005, it is respectfully requested the following remarks and amendments¹ be entered and considered for further prosecution of the above mentioned application:

10/11/2005 TL0111

00000032 09936354

REMARKS

01 FC:1252

459.00 OP

Claims 12-27 are currently pending in the application. The specification has been amended as suggested by the Examiner to replace the commas with periods on pages 11-12, replace the wording on page 4, and revise the abstract. Furthermore, claim 12 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. The Examiner has rejected claims 12, 19, 26-27 under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Ruppel et al. (US

¹ The Claim Amendments as set forth in Appendix I of this paper.